

o-Naphthoquinone-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidation of Amines to (Ket)imines: A Modular Catalyst Approach

Yogesh Goriya, Hun Young Kim,* and Kyungsoo Oh*

Center for Metareceptome Research, College of Pharmacy, Chung-Ang University, 84 Heukseok-ro, Dongjak, Seoul 06974, Republic of Korea

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A modular aerobic oxidation of amines to imines has been achieved using an *ortho*-naphthoquinone (*o*-NQ) catalyst. The cooperative catalyst system of *o*-NQ and Cu(OAc)₂ enabled the formation of homocoupled imines from benzylamines, while the presence of TFA helped the formation of cross-coupled imines in excellent yields. The current mild aerobic oxidation protocol could also be applied to the oxidation of secondary amines to imines or ketimines with the help of cocatalyst, Ag₂CO₃, with excellent yields.

o-Quinone-catalyzed dehydrogenation of amines mimics the copper amine oxidases (CuAOs) where redox-active organic molecules skillfully utilize the green oxidants such as molecular oxygen or air to promote the imine formation from primary amines.¹ The development of novel o-quinone cofactors in CuAOs has received considerable attention from the synthetic community for the past few years, culminating in the successful identification of o-quinones for catalytic aerobic oxidation of primary and secondary amines to imines.² Taking initiative from natural o-quinone cofactors with the two possible CuAOs mechanisms (Scheme 1a), the laboratories of Bruice (pyrroloquinonoline quinone),³ Itoh (methyl tryptophan tryptophylquinone),⁴ Sayre (2,4,5-trihydroxy phenylalanine quinone),⁵

Scheme 1. Biomimetic o-Quinones for Dehydrogenation of Amines

a) Biomimetic o-quinone catalysts

MeO₂C HN

MeO₂C

and Klinman (butyl-2-hydroxy-1,4-benzoquinone)⁶ studied the oxidation of amines using biomimetic model o-quinones. However, the first practical use of synthetic o-quinones in the dehydrogenation of amines was explored by the laboratories of Largeron⁷ and Stahl⁸ using IQ^{ox} (o-iminoquinone) and TBHBQ, respectively. In 2014, the laboratory of Stahl used the PQQ model 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione (phd) to initiate the dehydrogenation of secondary amines and other N-heterocycles in the presence of cocatalysts, ZnI₂ and pyridium p-toluenesulfonic acid. Such a modular catalyst system has been applied to the dehydrogenation of 1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinolines by using a Ru/Co cocatalyst system. 10 With the aim of identifying new o-quinone-based catalysts that display tunable dehydrogenation activity for primary and secondary amines, 11 we examined substituted o-naphthoquinone derivatives as possible synthetic o-quinone cofactors. In our recent synthetic studies of o-naphthoquinones, we found that 4-substituted naphthalene-1,2-diols spontaneously undergo aerobic oxidation to o-naphthoquinones in quantitative yields (Scheme 1b).12 Motivated by the high redox activity of 4substituted o-naphthoquinone derivatives, we envisioned a new biomimetic o-naphthoquinone-catalyzed dehydrogenation of amines. Herein, we report a mild and tunable o-naphthoquinone-based dehydrogenation of primary amines to furnish diverse homo- and cross-coupled imines. Importantly, the current o-naphthoquinone-based dehydrogenation can be applied to secondary amines to give imines and ketimines in excellent yields.

To evaluate the dehydrogenation activity of o-naphthoquinone derivatives, we chose p-methoxy benzylamine $\mathbf{1a}$ as a model substrate (Table 1). Upon stirring benzylamine $\mathbf{1a}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of various o-naphthoquinones,

Received: September 8, 2016

Published: September 28, 2016



Organic Letters Letter

Table 1. Optimization of the Dehydrogenation of Benzyl Amine

o-NQ1, R^1 = H, R^2 = $-C_5H_{11}$ o-NQ3, R^1 = H, R^2 = -Pho-NQ4, R^1 = H, R^2 = -4-F-Pi o-NQ5, R^1 = H, R^2 = -4-OMe-Ph o-NQ6, R^1 , R^2 = $-C_5H_{11}$

entry	cat. (mol %)	add. (mol %)	solvent, t (h)	yield	(%) ^b
1	o-NQ1 (10)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	39
2	o-NQ2 (10)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	64
3	o-NQ3 (10)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	69
4	o-NQ4 (10)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	47
5	o-NQ5 (10)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	63
6	o-NQ6 (10)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	9
7	o-NQ7 (10)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	29
8	o-NQ3 (10)	_	CH_2Cl_2	48	25
9	o-NQ3 (10)	_	$PhCH_3$	48	22
10	o-NQ3 (10)	_	THF	48	50
11	o-NQ3 (10)	_	EtOH	48	28
12	o-NQ3 (20)	_	CH ₃ CN	24	91
13	o-NQ3 (5)	_	CH ₃ CN	48	33
14	o-NQ3 (5)	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (5)	CH ₃ CN	18	99
15 ^c	o-NQ3 (2)	$Cu(OAc)_2(2)$	CH ₃ CN	18	99
16	o-NQ3 (1)	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (1)	CH ₃ CN	60	80
17	o-NQ3 (0.5)	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (0.5)	CH ₃ CN	60	65
18	o-NQ3 (2)	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (1)	CH ₃ CN	18	83
19	o-NQ3 (1)	$Cu(OAc)_2(2)$	CH ₃ CN	18	43
20^d	o-NQ3 (2)	$Cu(OAc)_2(2)$	CH ₃ CN	60	81

^aReaction conditions: **1a** (0.4 mmol) in CH₃CN (1 mL) under O₂ balloon. ^bIsolated yield of products after column chromatography. ^cCuCl (7%), CuCl₂ (23%), CuOAc (9%). ^dReaction under ambient air

the formation of homocoupled imine 2a was observed at ambient temperature under O2 atmosphere. The electrondonating substituent at C-4 of o-naphthoquinones o-NQ2 improved the dehydrogenation activity, resulting in the formation of 2a in 64% yield (entry 2) as opposed to 39% when 4-alkyl naphthoquinone o-NQ1 was used (entry 1). The dehydrogenation activity was further improved with the use of 4-phenyl naphthoquinone o-NQ3 to a 69% yield. The investigation into the electronic effect of the phenyl group revealed that the electron-donating group (entry 5) was beneficial as opposed to the electron-deficient group (entry 4). The substituent at C-3 of o-naphthoquinones significantly reduced the dehydrogenation activity, possibly due to steric reasons, providing 2a in 9-29% yields (entries 6-7). The solvent screening revealed CH3CN as the optimal solvent for the current dehydrogenation protocol (entries 8-11). Next, we examined the catalyst loading. While the use of 20 mol % o-NQ3 led to the desired product 2a in 91% yield in 24 h (entry 12), the reduced catalyst loading to 5 mol % provided 2a in 33% yield with a prolonged reaction time of 48 h (entry 13). To improve the dehydrogenation activity of o-NQ3, we opted for the use of additional promoters as cocatalysts. Gratifyingly, the catalytic use of Cu(OAc)₂ significantly enhanced the dehydrogenation activity of o-NQ3, 13 providing 2a in >99% yield in the presence of 2 mol % of both o-NQ3 and Cu(OAc)₂ (entries 14-17). The optimal ratio between o-NQ3 and Cu(OAc)₂ was 1:1 (entries 18-19). Finally, the current

dehydrogenation protocol could be carried out under ambient air conditions, but the reaction time increased to 60 h for completion (entry 20).

The optimized dehydrogenation conditions were further evaluated using various benzyl amines (Scheme 2). In general,

Scheme 2. Scope of *o*-NQ3-Catalyzed Aerobic Dehydrogenation of Benzyl Amines

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{2-5 mol } \% \text{ o-NQ3} \\ \text{2-5 mol } \% \text{ Cu(OAc)}_2 \\ \text{CH}_3\text{CN, O}_2, 23 \, ^\circ\text{C} \\ \text{1} \\ \text{1} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{1} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{1} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{4} \\ \text{4} \\ \text{5} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{1} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{4} \\ \text{4} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{9} \\ \text{5} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{1} \\ \text{1} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{4} \\ \text{4} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{9} \\ \text{9} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{3} \\ \text{5} \\ \text{5} \\ \text{5} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{9} \\ \text{5} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{9} \\ \text{5} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{9} \\ \text{6} \\ \text{6$$

^a2 mol % catalysts. ^b5 mol % catalysts. ^cYields using internal standard.

benzyl amines with an electron-donating substituent provided excellent yields of imines in the presence of 2 mol % *o*-NQ3 and Cu(OAc)₂ (2a, 2c-e, 2k). However, electron-deficient benzyl amines required 5 mol % of catalysts for comparable dehydrogenation activities (2b, 2f-j). In cases of heteroatom-containing amine substrates, the isolated yields of imines (2l-m) were in 51–56% yields, possibly due to the facile imine decomposition upon isolation and coordination to the metal center.

Since the current dehydrogenation conditions using *o*-NQ3 were not applicable to aliphatic primary amines and *sec*-primary amines, ¹⁴ we examined the cross-coupling between primary benzyl amines and other unreactive amines. After some optimization studies (see the Supporting Information for detail), we identified optimal conditions for the cross-coupling using 10 mol % *o*-NQ3 and 20 mol % TFA with 2 equiv of nonoxidizing amines. The result of the *o*-NQ3/TFA-catalyzed aerobic cross-coupling of primary amines is illustrated in Table 2. The reaction is quite effective for various *sec*-primary amines (3a–k), aliphatic primary amines (31), *tert*-primary amines (3m), anilines (3n).

Motivated by the fact that the dehydrogenation of amines was strongly influenced by the additional promoters such as Cu(OAc)₂ and TFA, we further investigated the cross-coupling using *o*-aminoaniline (Scheme 3). The synthesis of benzimidazole 4 was accomplished in 86% yield. Additionally, secondary amines such as tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives were dehydrogenated in the presence of cocatalyst Ag₂CO₃ in excellent yields to give 5a–c.¹⁵ The dehydrogenation of 9,10-dihydroacridine to acridine 6 was also effected under mild reaction conditions. However, aliphatic amines such as tetrahydroquinoline and indoline were inert under various reaction conditions. ¹⁶

While more studies are needed to cast mechanistic insights into the cooperative catalyst activities between *o*-NQ3 and cocatalysts (metallic copper(II) salt, acidic TFA, and basic Ag₂CO₃), the current cooperative catalyst system displays the both features of "transamination" and "addition—elimination" mechanistic pathways. Thus, the homocoupling and cross-coupling of primary benzyl amines collectively illustrate

Organic Letters Letter

Table 2. o-NQ3-Catalyzed Aerobic Cross-Coupling of Amines

1				3
entry	1	amine	3	yield (%) ^b
1	MeO NH ₂	NH ₂	MeO N	3a, 92
2	NH ₂	NH ₂	0,0	3b , 90
3	NH ₂	NH ₂	ONC	3c , 93
4	NH ₂	NH ₂	0,0	3d , 95
5	CI NH2	NH ₂	a Only	3e , 90
6	ONH ₂	NH ₂	OTT N'	3f , 97
7	NH ₂	NH ₂	C N C	3g , 91
8	€S NH2	NH ₂	C N O	3h , 69
9	MeO NH ₂	Ph NH ₂	MeO N Ph	3i , 97
10	MeO NH ₂	○ NH₂	MeO N	3j, 87
11	MeO NH ₂	→ NH ₂	Meo N	3k , 96
12	MeO NH ₂	MH ₂	MeO	31 , 93
13	MeO NH ₂	Ph Ph Ph	MeO N Ph	3m , 92
14	MeO NH ₂	○ NH₂	MeO	3n , 70

^aReaction conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol), amines (0.4 mmol) in CH₃CN (1 mL) under O₂ balloon. ^bIsolated yield of products after column chromatography.

Scheme 3. Scope of o-NQ3-Catalyzed Dehydrogenation of Various Amines

the possibility of a "transamination" mechanism, where the high selectivity for unhindered primary benzylamines was observed. One possible role of TFA in the formation of cross-coupled imines and benzimidazole is to facilitate the hydrolysis of imines for the dynamic equilibrium of imine species. In contrast, the dehydrogenation of secondary amines suggests the

possible involvement of hemiacetal intermediates that typically requires basic conditions for the abstraction of a H atom as shown in Scheme $1.^{10,11a}$

In summary, we have developed the highly modular aerobic dehydrogenation protocols for primary and secondary amines. With the discovery of new biomimetic o-naphthoquinones, mild and efficient homo- and cross-couplings of amines were achieved with the help of cocatalysts such as acids and bases. While there exists room for improvement in terms of amine substrate scope to nonbenzylic amines and catalyst loading to <5 mol %, the fact that the reactivity of o-NQ3 could be modulated using cocatalysts makes the current method attractive for the synthesis of various heterocycles. Our current research efforts are directed to detailed mechanistic studies and expanding the chemistry to other amine substrates.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b02697.

Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: hunykim@cau.ac.kr. *E-mail: kyungsoooh@cau.ac.kr.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (NRF-2015R1A5A1008958 and NRF-2015-R1C1A2A01053504).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) For reviews, see: (a) Principles and Applications of quinoproteins; Davidson, V. L., Ed.; Dekker: New York, 1993. (b) Klinman, J. P. J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 27189–27192. (c) Mure, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 131–139. (d) Klinman, J. P.; Bonnot, F. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114. 4343–4365.
- (2) For recent reviews, see: (a) Largeron, M.; Fleury, M.-B. Science **2013**, 339, 43–44. (b) Chen, B.; Wang, L.; Gao, S. ACS Catal. **2015**, 5, 5851–5876. (c) Wendlandt, A. E.; Stahl, S. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 14638–14658.
- (3) (a) Eckert, T. S.; Bruice, T. C.; Gainor, J. A.; Weinreb, S. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1982**, *79*, 2533–2536. (b) Eckert, T. S.; Bruice, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4431–4441. (c) Sleath, P. R.; Noar, J. B.; Eberlein, G. A.; Bruice, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 3328–3338. (d) Rodriguez, E. J.; Bruice, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 7947–7956.
- (4) (a) Itoh, S.; Ogino, M.; Haranou, S.; Terasaka, T.; Ando, T.; Komatsu, M.; Ohshiro, Y.; Fukuzumi, S.; Kano, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 1485–1493. (b) Itoh, S.; Takada, N.; Haranou, S.; Ando, T.; Komatsu, M.; Ohshiro, Y.; Fukuzumi, S. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8967–8974. (c) Itoh, S.; Kawakami, H.; Fukuzumi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 439–440. (d) Itoh, S.; Takada, N.; Ando, T.; Haranou, S.; Huang, X.; Uenoyama, Y.; Ohshiro, Y.; Komatsu, M.; Fukuzumi, S. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5898–5907. (e) Itoh, S.; Kawakami, H.; Fukuzumi, S. Biochemistry 1998, 37, 6562–6571. (f) Itoh, S.; Taniguchi, M.; Fukuzumi, S. Chem. Commun. 2000, 329–330.

Organic Letters Letter

(5) (a) Lee, Y.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3096–3105. (b) Lee, Y.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11823–11828. (c) Lee, Y.; Huang, H.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7241–7242. (d) Ling, K.-Q.; Kim, J.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9606–9611. (e) Lee, Y.; Ling, K.-Q.; Lu, X.; Silverman, R. B.; Shepard, E. M.; Dooley, D. M.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12135–12143. (f) Qiao, C.; Ling, K.-Q.; Shepard, E. M.; Dooley, D. M.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6206–6219. (g) Zhang, Y.; Ran, C.; Zhou, G.; Sayre, J. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 1868–1877.

- (6) (a) Mure, M.; Klinman, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8698–8706.
 (b) Mure, M.; Klinman, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8707–8718.
- (7) (a) Largeron, M.; Fleury, M.-B. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8874–8881. (b) Largeron, M.; Neudorffer, A.; Fleury, M.-B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1026–1029. (c) Largeron, M.; Chiaroni, A.; Fleury, M.-B. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 996–1003. (d) Largeron, M.; Fleury, M.-B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 883–886. (e) Largeron, M. Electrochim. Acta 2009, 124, 5505–5508. (f) Largeron, M.; Fleury, M.-B.; Strolin Benedetti, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3796–3800. (g) Largeron, M.; Fleury, M.-B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5409–5412. (h) Nguyen, K. M. H.; Largeron, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 12606–12610.
- (8) Wendlandt, A. E.; Stahl, S. S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2850-2853.
- (9) Wendlandt, A. E.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 506-512.
- (10) Wendlandt, A. E.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 11910-11913.
- (11) For recent examples, see: (a) Yuan, H.; Yoo, W.-J.; Miyamura, H.; Kobayashi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 13970–13973. (b) Yuan, H.; Yoo, W.-J.; Miyamura, H.; Kobayashi, S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 2899–2904. (c) Jawale, D. V.; Gravel, E.; Shah, N.; Dauvis, V.; Li, H.; Namboothri, I. N. N.; Doris, E. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 7039–7042. (d) Qin, Y.; Zhang, L.; Lv, J.; Luo, S.; Cheng, J.-P. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 1469–1472.
- (12) Kim, H.; Takizawa, S.; Oh, K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 7191-7196
- (13) The catalytic activity of $Cu(OAc)_2$ was already demonstrated in the previous aerobic oxidation protocol for o-naphthoquinones in ref 12.
- (14) The use of hexylamine and 1-phenylehtylamine under the optimized dehydrogenation conditions led to the imine formation in >1% and >5%, respectively.
- (15) A base is needed for the dehydrogenation of secondary amines; see the Supporting Information for the base screening results.
- (16) Under the forcing condition at 80 °C, 5–10% conversions were obtained. Thus, no catalytic activity was observed.